

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/080,669	02/25/2002	Norihiko Kaga	219879US0	8162
22850	7590 10/20/2005		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			TRAN, THAO T	
1940 DUKE S	TREET A, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALCOM IN CORR	, 2251.		1711	
			DATE MAILED: 10/20/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			UV
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/080,669	KAGA, NORIHIKO	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thao T. Tran	1711	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communicatio D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Ju	ılv 2005.		
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits i	is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.			•
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∋ 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(	(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:		-(d) or (f).	
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents		•	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• •	<del></del>	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	*	ed in this National Stage	
application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	* **	ad.	
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	u.	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	(PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/080,669 Page 2

Art Unit: 1711

# **DETAILED ACTION**

# Response to Amendment

1. This is in response to the Amendments filed 7/28/2005. The Affidavit filed on the same date is also acknowledged.

2. Claims 1-20 are currently pending in this application. Claims 16-20 have been newly added.

### Claim Objections

3. In view of the prior Office action of 2/10/2005, the objection of claims 6, 9, and 13 has been withdrawn due to the Amendments made thereto.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. In view of the prior Office action of 2/10/2005, the rejection of claims 1-15 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Masuda et al. (US Pat. 6,175,712) in view of Niimi (US Pat. 6,132,911), has been withdrawn due to further consideration.
- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakazawa et al. (US Pat. 6,592,803) or Kabata et al. (US Pat. 6,521,388).

Nakazawa discloses an intermediate transfer belt in an image forming apparatus, the belt comprising a mixture of different thermoplastic resins, such as styrene-acrylonitrile copolymer, polystyrene, polybutadiene, polyester, polyamide. The resin further contains up to 30% by weight carbon black based on the weight of the resin. (See abstract; col. 7, ln. 51-67, col. 8, ln. 1-23). The belt has a volume resistance of  $6x10^5$  Ohm (see Examples).

Kabata discloses an intermediate transfer belt in an image forming apparatus, the belt comprising a mixture of different resins, including polycarbonate, polyamide, styrene acrylonitrile copolymers, polyester, polybutadiene, silicone resin (see col. 15, line 60-65; col. 16, ln. 37-67; col. 17, ln. 1-17). The resin mixture further contains an electroconductive material, such as carbon black of 15% (18 parts in 100 parts resin) (see col. 17, ln. 18-27; Example 15).

Neither of the reference teaches the specific weight percent of the styrene acrylonitrile copolymer or of the thermoplastic resin in the belt. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the concentration of a component would have been optimized by routine experimentation and would have been adjusted in order to obtain the desired results.

#### Response to Arguments

- 7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-15 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thao T. Tran whose telephone number is 571-272-1080. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, from 9:00 a.m. 5:30 p.m.

Application/Control Number: 10/080,669

Art Unit: 1711

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

tt

October 17, 2005

THAOT.TRAN
PATENT EXAMINER

Page 4